FROM : ERAU



FAX COVER

Anchorage Campus

4109 Bullard Ave.; Elmendorf AFB, AK 99506 Phone: 907 753-9367

Fax: 907 753-93**76**

From: Bill Holland

To: Bobbie Wells

Fax: 688-7526

Total Pages including this cover: 18

NOTES:

Hi Bobbie,

The comments regarding the stop work orders were submitted to the assembly weeks ago. The zoning forms are attached to express the tone from ERVCC.

Call my cell if you have any questions. 244-3728

Bill Holland

CONSORTIUM OF CHUGIAK-EAGLE RIVER ATTACHED
COMMUNITY COUNCILS

GIVE US VO...

GIVE US YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS!

The Consortium is going to draft land use regulations that are specific to Chugiak-Eagle River. We will be reviewing the MOA Title 21 Rownite - Public Review Draft to determine what changes need to be made to accommodate Chugiak-Eagle River.

But, W	ve need to hear from you:
	We want to know your views & issues on land use and development in our area.
×	We want your comments on the MOA Title 21 Rewrite - Public Review Draft.
	We want to know anything else you want to tell us!
The M	IOA Title 21 Rewrite - Public Review Draft is available by:
	Going to http://www.muni.org/planning/prj_Title21_PublicHearingDraft.cfm
	Requesting paper copies at the MOA Planning Department, 4700 Bragaw Street, Anchorage, AK 99507
	Going to the Chugiak-Eagle River Library (for in-house review of paper copy)
The C <i>Revie</i>	onsortium is reviewing four chapters from the MOA Title 21 Rewrite - Public w Draft:
	Chapter 21.01 - General Provisions
	Chapter 21.02 - Boards, Commissions, and Municipal Administration
	Chapter 21.08 - Subdivision Standards
	Chapter 21.13 - Enforcement
Submi	it your comments to the Consortium on the four chapters by April 30, 2007:
	E-mail: barb@mtaonline.net
	Fax: (907) 688-7526
	US Mail: Consortium, 19213 Sprucecrest Drive, Chugiak, AK 99567
As the	Consortium reviews additional chapters, there will more public comment periods.
All com	nments will be posted on our website.

Get updates on Consortium meetings and events at http://www.communitycouncils.org/servlet/content/consortium_c_e.html Or call our message board at 689-7788

Here are some observations and comments for the draft Title 21, Enforcement Chapter (13).

In Section 3 - Stop Work Orders

3d and 5 · Restoration:

If restoration is the solution that must be implemented, it should be made clear that a person may not just substitute a fine (\$300) as an alternative to restoring the site. The actual cost of the restoration should be the fine.

4. Civil Penalties:

The \$300 fine is light, if it is the decided alternative to awarding a civil penalty. The term civil penalty is vauge and may be cumbersome to bring to conclusion. Beware that we set in writing ways for developers and owners to buy themselves out of violation status.

7. Abatement-a:

Does owner = developer? There is a vauge reference that designates the property owner as the accountable party. Are there situations where the developer, rather than the land owner is the violator? This may be an opportunity for a developer to delegate the accountablility to lot owners, even if they cause the violation.

7. Abatement - e:

When a lien is placed against a violator, who is the recipient? Developer? Land owner?
Who places the lien? The Municipality of Anchorage? The land owner against the developer?

Non-Emergency Matters:

- 4. Options Upon Noncompliance b: The fine of \$250 per day may be cheaper than changing the practices at the development site. Is this also an opportunity for a developer to buy themselves out of violation status? Will they be compeled to change to bring themselves into compliance, when all they need to do is pay the money?
- 7. Issuance of Compliance Order:
- \$1,000 maximum. This may be successful for behavior modification, but it does not cover the actual administrative costs.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this draft document. We would like to use a fair document that truly represents the costs and accountability for compliance with the Title 21 regulations.

Eagle River Valley Community Council

JUNK AND SALVAGE	3
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	-
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	2
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	7
OTHER	
COMMENTS:	
	•

	JUNK AND SALVAGE			
	JUNK VEHICLES			
	PARKING			
	TRASH			
(2)	VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	21	mit Dum	لم في و أو تستاي
<u> </u>	OVERHEIGHT FENCES		·	· •
1)	HOME BUSINESSES			
	STRUCTURES/SETBACKS			
(2)	CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS 15 bande 1000?	-72	to Petto	
	OTHER		17.	
	COMMENTS: T have a basiness where I g	<i>#</i> 7	to	
	the patents home, but my home is			
	I collect the data + answer phones. S			
	had to rest form another outside my how			
•	and the 111 11	1/		122
	Circle applicable Community Council. Basher, Birchwood, Chugiak, Eagle River, Eagle River Valley, Eklutna V.	Andrew Control of the	POOT Dr. A	
	Basher, Birchwood, Chugiak, Eagle River, Eagle River Valley, Eklutna V	_11		
	Northeast, Scenic Park, South Fork.	апеу,	- 1	
	The state of the s			

JUNK VEHICLES PARKING TRASH VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS) OVERHEIGHT FENCES HOME BUSINESSES STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS No Short () 点去 OTHER COMMENTS:	
TRASH VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS) OVERHEIGHT FENCES HOME BUSINESSES STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS No Short Plats OTHER	X
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS) OVERHEIGHT FENCES HOME BUSINESSES STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS No Short Plats OTHER	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES HOME BUSINESSES STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS No Short Plats OTHER	X
HOME BUSINESSES STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS No Short Plats OTHER	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS NO Short Plats OTHER	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS NO Short Plats OTHER	
OTHER	
OTHER	X.
COMMENTS:	
COMINIEN IS:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	1
PARKING	
TRASH	†*************************************
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	\supset
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	X
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	
OTHER	
COMMENTS:	
	•

JUNK AND SALVAGE	1
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	3
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	2
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	1
OTHER	
	<u></u>

COMMENTS:	
·	
,	
	·

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	1
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	2
OTHER	3

COMMENTS:	Densi	4-0	should	<u>&¢</u>	\$ 6 3 3	1-	
	Fried.	,		45			
, 'A	1018 the control of t		- :				
·	\$,				

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS	3)
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	X
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	> <
OTHER	
COMMENTS:	
d d	·

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	3
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	2
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	-
OTHER High Density housing - No condos	L
COMMENTS:	

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	1
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS - Clear cotting, high	1
VIIIIN - 1051000 ATTOV Charles To a manife	(3)
COMMENTS: The residential and	
commercial development has created	<i></i>
road and podestrian traffic proble	m S
and safety concerns. Road planning	<u> 19</u>
should be a priority.	<u> </u>
•	

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	X
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	
OTHER	

is open with planty of open your, high density growth is a problem and P+Z does not have the committy introduct Alart. New clevelaments should good go through Rubble process, and P+Z should have extend Rubble process.

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	<u>></u>
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	2
OTHER short Plat Process	7
Should be reen-oved	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
()	
	<u></u>

C@MMENTS:

PLEASE CHOOSE THE THREE MAJOR CONCERNS YOU HAVE WITH ZONING ISSUES IN YOUR COMMUNITY COUNCIL AREA.

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	<u> </u>
OTHER Antenna tower limits	3

1) High density housing in alpine topography.
5 lope variances allowed too often steep drive -
ways, no yords, no sight distance in winter
from the driveway, (High density impacts schools; overcrowding)
Meed to satup required well septic distance on sloped lots

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	MARIZ.
OTHER	WAI
COMMENTS: The Public should be point	
athe review Process if construction	<u>~ (</u>
more than a single family home is re with P+Z!	<u>ig væ</u> s tei

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	
OTHER	(

COMMENTS:	_
grant without coordination with schools,	
dot and other departments is wrong.	
I it forces MOA to fix it after	
development,	_

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	X
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS	
OTHER ZONE EXCHPTIONS	X

COMMENTS:	ZONE	EXEMPTION	APPROVAC	- FOR	DONEZ	<u>0</u> P5VS
IS TOO EA	HW - 12	AT IS THE	POINT OF	F ZON		TBUILDERS CHARLES
DOVOZOPORS	CAN	CI RCUHUSNT	THE R	٥ <u>رىچى .</u>	IF A	RULE
APPLIES I	T'SHO	<u>0</u> 60 Bo 6	N FEBLUEN	į e		

JUNK AND SALVAGE	
JUNK VEHICLES	
PARKING	
TRASH	
VACANT LAND ISSUES (IE: DUMPING GROUNDS)	
OVERHEIGHT FENCES	
HOME BUSINESSES	
STRUCTURES/SETBACKS	
CONSTRUCTION W/O PERMITS)
OTHER O	رو
COMMENTS: Developers should be held	
accountable for correcting Roads & walk we	- <u>~</u> /1/ ~
For Kids to get to school safely.	
more oversite of developers. Less den	574
	$\neg \forall$